

Development Plan Document (DPD) Second Publication Stage Representation Form

Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD)

The District Council have produced a guidance note to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Castle House, Libraries in the District and https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/

Newark and Sherwood District Council is seeking your comments on the Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD ('Second Publication AADMDPD'). Comments received at this stage should be about whether the Plan is legally compliant, sound and whether it has met the duty to cooperate. All representations must be received by the Council by 5pm on Monday 6th November 2023.

This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) and further notification requests. (Please fill in a separate sheet (Part B) for each aspect or part of the Local Plan you wish to make representation on). Documents to support your representations (optional) should be referenced.

Privacy Notice

Apart from your comments below, the personal information you have provided will only be used by Newark & Sherwood District Council in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018 and will not be shared with any third party.

The basis under which the Council uses personal data for this purpose is to undertake a public task.

The information that you have provided will be kept in accordance with the Council's retention schedule, which can be found at: https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/dataprotection/

Please note the Council cannot accept anonymous responses. All representations received will be made available for public inspection and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. They will also be:

Published in the public domain;

Published on the Council's website;

Shared with other organisations for the purpose of developing/adopting the Publication AADMDPD and forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideration;

Made available to the Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to examine the Publication AADMDPD; and

Used by the Inspector to contact you regarding the Examination of the Plan.

When making representations available on the Council's website, the Council will remove all telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures.

By submitting your Response Form/representation, you agree to your personal details being processed in accordance with these Data Protection Terms.

If you previously made a representation to the first Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (November 2022) Regulation 19 stage, we would like to know how you want this to be treated. All representations made at that stage will be forwarded on to the Inspector unless you wish to supersede it with a new representation to this Second Publication Allocations & Development Management. Please make this clear at the beginning of your Representation. If your previous representation is no longer required because of the proposed changes made to this Second Publication AADMDPD, please let us know that you are happy for your previous representation to be withdrawn.

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

In circumstances where individuals/groups share a similar view, it would be helpful to the Inspector to make a single representation, stating how many people the submission is representing and how the representation was authorised.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in column two.

Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	Mark	Christopher
Last Name	Sutheran	Wickham
Job Title (where relevant)		Planning Consultant
Organisation (where relevant)	Civitas SPV6 Limited	Christopher Wickham Associates
Address Line 1		35 Highgate High Street
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		London
Post Code		N6 5JT
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Name or Organisation: Civita	s SPV6 Limited	

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?				
Part of the Second Publication AADMDPD:	Mark if Relevant (X)	Specify number/part/document:		
Second Amended AADMDPD Paragraph Number	X	Paragraph Number: 7.74		
Second Amended AADMDPD Policy Number		Policy Number:		
Second Amended AADMDPD Policies Map Amendments		Part of Policy Map:		
Integrated Impact Assessment ¹				
Habitat Regulations Assessment		Paragraph Number:		
Statement of Consultation	t of Consultation Paragraph Number:			
Supporting Evidence Base		Document Name:		
		Page/Paragraph:		
4. Do you consider the DPD t	to be LEGALLY COMPLIA	ANT?		
Yes 🖂		No 🗌		
5 Do you consider the DPD to comply with the Duty-to-Cooperate?				
Yes 🔀		No 🗌		
6. Do you consider the DPD	to be SOUND?			
Yes 🗌		No 🖂		
	Sherwood Developme	ce, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being 'Sound' nt Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes ework (NPPF) (2023).		

PART B- Representation(s)

¹ The Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) integrates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Health Impact Assessment (HIA). Sustainability Appraisals (SA) are a requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) are required by European Directive EC/2001/42, which was transposed into UK law by the Environmental Assessment Regulations for Plans and Programmes (July 2004). The EqIA is a way of demonstrating the District Council is fulfilling the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duty contained in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. HIA is a recognised process for considering the health impacts of plans and undertaking this type of assessment is widely seen as best practice.

7.	The DPD is not sound because it is <u>not:</u>
	(1) Positively Prepared (2) Justified (3) Effective (4) Consistent with national policy
8.	Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant, sound or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below.
	you wish to provide supplementary information to support your details, please ensure they are clearly eferenced.
SFD porth both the all strains did	he objection to supporting paragraph 7.74 should be read in conjunction with the objection by Civitas PV6 Limited's objection to Part 5 of Policy DM8 (Conversion of Existing Buildings). As in the case of Policy M8, the wording of the paragraph is considered to be unsound because it is not consistent with national olicy set out at paragraph 80 (c) of the NPPF. Specifically, the paragraph is unnecessarily restrictive in nat it limits support for the residential conversion of existing buildings to those which are considered to be of architectural or historic merit. The conversion of existing permanent and structurally sound uildings of utilitarian design would also be sustainable, and would offer the opportunity for enhancing ne external appearance through careful conversion. This more expansive approach to the conversion of ll forms of existing permanent buildings would be consistent with the NPPF, paragraph 80 (c) of which upports residential development in the countryside where 'the development would re-use redundant or isused buildings and enhance its immediate setting'. This support is not qualified by the architectural nerit or otherwise of the existing building.
lo w de ac	n addition, whilst stating correctly that existing buildings in the countryside are mostly in unsustainable ocations, this paragraph of the DPD should acknowledge that the conversion of existing buildings on sites which are located close or adjacent to settlement boundaries may not be materially less sustainable than evelopment within settlement boundaries. Proximity to existing settlements should be expressly cknowledged as a factor which lends further support to the conversion of existing buildings, including conversion to residential use.
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)
9.	Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as

Paragraph 7.74 should be amended to read as follows:-

possible.

The countryside contains many buildings that are no longer needed or suitable for their original purpose and a significant number of these have already been converted to other uses. The conversion to dwellings will be supported, in particular in more sustainable locations close or adjacent to settlements. It will need to be demonstrated through the submission of a structural survey that the building is capable of being converted without substantial alteration or re-building. If approval is granted, the amount of re-building permitted will normally be restricted by condition to that required by the structural survey. If the need for

further re-building is identified during the construction process, this may trigger the requirement for a new planning application. Submission of a protected species survey and any proposed mitigation will also be required as part of any application.'					
The above change will achieve consistency with paragraph 80(c) of the NPPF, and will therefore make this paragraph sound.					
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if nec	essary)			
Information necessary to support/justify the Renormally be a subsequent opportunity to make Representations at the Publication stage. After of the Inspector, based on the matters and issued	this stage, further submissions will be only at the rues he/she identifies for Examination.	l not request			
10. If your Representation is seeking a change part of the examination?	, do you consider it necessary to participate at the	e oral			
No , I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination.	Yes , I wish to participate at the oral Examination.				
11. If you wish to participate at the oral part of to be necessary. N/A	f the Examination, please outline why you consider	r this			
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if neces	ssary)			
Please note the Inspector will determine the months have indicated that they wish to participate at the second s	ost appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those whe	10			
12. Please tick the relevant boxes below to re events:	eceive notifications (via email) on the following				
DPD submitted to the Secretary of State for Insp	pection	\boxtimes			
Examination in Public hearing sessions					
Planning Inspector's recommendations for the D	OPD have been published.	\boxtimes			
DPD has been formally adopted.					
Signature:	Date: 31st October 2023				
Please return this form by 5pm on 6 th Novemb	er 2023 to one of the addresses below:				

Email: planningpolicy@newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk

Office Use Only
Date of Receipt:
Representation No: