

Development Plan Document (DPD) Second Publication Stage Representation Form

Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD)

The District Council have produced a guidance note to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Castle House, Libraries in the District and https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/

Newark and Sherwood District Council is seeking your comments on the Second Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD ('Second Publication AADMDPD'). Comments received at this stage should be about whether the Plan is legally compliant, sound and whether it has met the duty to cooperate. All representations must be received by the Council by 5pm on Monday 6th November 2023.

This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) and further notification requests. (Please fill in a separate sheet (Part B) for each aspect or part of the Local Plan you wish to make representation on). Documents to support your representations (optional) should be referenced.

Privacy Notice

Apart from your comments below, the personal information you have provided will only be used by Newark & Sherwood District Council in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018 and will not be shared with any third party.

The basis under which the Council uses personal data for this purpose is to undertake a public task.

The information that you have provided will be kept in accordance with the Council's retention schedule, which can be found at: https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/dataprotection/

Please note the Council cannot accept anonymous responses. All representations received will be made available for public inspection and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. They will also be:

Published in the public domain;

Published on the Council's website:

Shared with other organisations for the purpose of developing/adopting the Publication AADMDPD and forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideration;

Made available to the Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to examine the Publication AADMDPD; and

Used by the Inspector to contact you regarding the Examination of the Plan.

When making representations available on the Council's website, the Council will remove all telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures.

By submitting your Response Form/representation, you agree to your personal details being processed in accordance with these Data Protection Terms.

If you previously made a representation to the first Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (November 2022) Regulation 19 stage, we would like to know how you want this to be treated. All representations made at that stage will be forwarded on to the Inspector unless you wish to supersede it with a new representation to this Second Publication Allocations & Development Management. Please make this clear at the beginning of your Representation. If your previous representation is no longer required because of the proposed changes made to this Second Publication AADMDPD, please let us know that you are happy for your previous representation to be withdrawn.

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

In circumstances where individuals/groups share a similar view, it would be helpful to the Inspector to make a single representation, stating how many people the submission is representing and how the representation was authorised.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in column two.

Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	Mark	Christopher
Last Name	Sutheran	Wickham
Job Title (where relevant)		Planning Consultant
Organisation (where relevant)	Civitas SPV6 Limited	Christopher Wickham Associates
Address Line 1		35 Highgate High Street
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		London
Post Code		N6 5JT
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Name or Organisation: Civit	as SPV6 Limited	

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representation relate?					
Part of the Second Publication AADMDPD:	Mark if Relevant (X)	Specify number/part/document:			
Second Amended AADMDPD Paragraph Number		Paragraph Number:			
Second Amended AADMDPD Policy Number	Х	Policy Number: DM8 (Part 5 – Conversion of existing buildings)			
Second Amended AADMDPD Policies Map Amendments		Part of Policy Map:			
Integrated Impact Assessment ¹		Paragraph Number:			
Habitat Regulations Assessment		Paragraph Number:			
Statement of Consultation		Paragraph Number:			
Supporting Evidence Base		Document Name:			
		Page/Paragraph:			
4. Do you consider the DPD to be LEGALLY COMPLIANT?					
Yes 🖂		No 🗌			
5 Do you consider the DPD	Do you consider the DPD to comply with the Duty-to-Cooperate?				
Yes 🖂		No 🗌			
6. Do you consider the DPD	to be SOUND?				
Yes		No 🖂			
*The considerations in relation to the Legal Compliance, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the Newark & Sherwood Development Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes and in Paragraph 35 of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023).					

PART B- Representation(s)

¹ The Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) integrates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Health Impact Assessment (HIA). Sustainability Appraisals (SA) are a requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) are required by European Directive EC/2001/42, which was transposed into UK law by the Environmental Assessment Regulations for Plans and Programmes (July 2004). The EqIA is a way of demonstrating the District Council is fulfilling the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duty contained in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. HIA is a recognised process for considering the health impacts of plans and undertaking this type of assessment is widely seen as best practice.

7.	The DPD is not sound because it is <u>not:</u>
	(1) Positively Prepared (2) Justified (3) Effective (4) Consistent with national policy
8.	Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant, sound or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below.
	you wish to provide supplementary information to support your details, please ensure they are clearly eferenced.
po cc al op ap do sc	he wording of Part 5 of Policy DM8 is considered to be unsound because it is not consistent with national olicy (Paragraph 80(c) of the NPPF), and provides no convincing reasons for deviating from national olicy. Specifically, the policy is unnecessarily restrictive in that it limits explicit support for the residential enversion of existing buildings to those which are considered to be of architectural or historic merit. The enversion of existing permanent and structurally sound buildings of utilitarian or similar design would also be sustainable, in particular in locations close or adjacent to existing settlements, and would offer the apportunity for enhancing external appearance through careful conversion. This more expansive approach to the conversion of all forms of existing permanent buildings would be consistent with aragraph 80(c) of the NPPF which supports residential development in the countryside where 'the evelopment would re-use redundant or disused buildings and enhance its immediate setting'. This upport within the NPPF is not qualified by reference to the architectural or historic merit of the existing uilding.
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)
9.	Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
TI	he second paragraph of Part 5 of Policy DM8 policy should be amended to read as follows:-
ac w hi fc Ti Ti	Proposals for the conversion to new residential uses will be supported, in particular in locations close or djacent to settlement boundaries, where it can be demonstrated that the buildings can be converted without significant re-building, alteration or extension. This includes the conversion of buildings of istorical merit where conversion would result in their preservation. Further guidance over how proposals or the conversion of traditional rural buildings will be considered is provided in the Conversion of traditional Rural Buildings Supplementary Planning Document.' his change would bring the policy closely into line with the less restrictive approach to the re-use of edundant or disused buildings in the countryside, as set out at paragraph 80(c) of the NPPF, and would make this part of the policy consistent with national policy, and therefore sound.
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.

10. If your Representation is seeking a change,	do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral
part of the examination?	

No , I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination.	Yes, I wish to p	articipate at the oral Examination.	
11. If you wish to participate at the oral part of to be necessary.	of the Examinati	on, please outline why you conside	r this
N/A			
	(Continue on a	separate sheet/expand box if neces	sary)
Please note the Inspector will determine the make indicated that they wish to participate at the second of the sec	the oral part of t	ne Examination.	10
events: DPD submitted to the Secretary of State for Ins	pection		\boxtimes
Examination in Public hearing sessions			\boxtimes
Planning Inspector's recommendations for the DPD have been published.		published.	\boxtimes
DPD has been formally adopted.			\boxtimes
Signature:	Date: 31st	October 2023	
Please return this form by 5pm on 6 th Novemb	per 2023 to one	of the addresses below:	
Email: planningpolicy@newark-sherwooddo	.gov.uk		
Post: Planning Policy & Infrastructure Busin Newark & Sherwood District Council Castle House	ness Unit		_
Great North Road		Office Use Only	
Newark		Date of Receipt:	

Representation No:

NG24 1BY

Information is available at: