Development Plan Document (DPD) Second PublicaAon Stage RepresentaAon Form

REF (For Office Use Only):

Second Publica1 on Amended Alloca1 ons & Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD)

The District Council have produced a guidance note to assist in the comple1on of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and addi1onal copies are available at: Castle House, Libraries in the District and <u>hHps://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representa1on/</u>

Newark and Sherwood District Council is seeking your comments on the Second PublicaAon Amended AllocaAons & Development Management DPD ('Second PublicaAon AADMDPD'). Comments received at this stage should be about whether the Plan is legally compliant, sound and whether it has met the duty to cooperate. All representa1ons must be received by the Council by 5pm on Monday 6th November 2023.

This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your RepresentaAon(s) and further noAficaAon requests. (Please **fi**ll in a separate sheet (Part B) for each aspect or part of the Local Plan you wish to make representa1on on). Documents to support your representaAons (opAonal) should be referenced.

Privacy No1ce

Apart from your comments below, the personal informa1on you have provided will only be used by Newark & Sherwood District Council in accordance with the UK General Data Protec1on Regula1on and the Data Protec1on Act 2018 and will not be shared with any third party.

The basis under which the Council uses personal data for this purpose is to undertake a public task.

The informa1on that you have provided will be kept in accordance with the Council's reten1on schedule, which can be found at: <u>hHps://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/dataprotec1on/</u>

Please note the Council cannot accept anonymous responses. All representaAons received will be made available for public inspecAon and therefore cannot be treated as confidenAal. They will also be:

Published in the public domain;

Published on the Council's website;

Shared with other organisaAons for the purpose of developing/adopAng the PublicaAon AADMDPD and forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideraAon;

Made available to the Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to examine the PublicaAon AADMDPD; and

Used by the Inspector to contact you regarding the ExaminaAon of the Plan.

When making representaAons available on the Council's website, the Council will remove all telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures.

By submiY ng your Response Form/representaAon, you agree to your personal details being processed in accordance with these Data ProtecAon Terms.

If you previously made a representa3on to the **fi**rst Publica3on Alloca3ons & Development Management DPD (November 2022) Regula3on 19 stage, we would like to know how you want this to be treated. All representa3ons made at that stage will be forwarded on to the Inspector unless you wish to supersede it with a new representa3on to this Second Publica3on Alloca3ons & Development Management. Please make this clear at the beginning of your Representa3on. If your previous representa3on is no longer required because of the proposed changes made to this Second Publica3on ADMDPD, please let us know that you are happy for your previous representa3on to be withdrawn.

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

In circumstances where individuals/groups share a similar view, it would be helpful to the Inspector to make a single representaAon, staAng how many people the submission is represenAng and how the representaAon was authorised.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisa9on boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in column two.

Title	Mrs	
First Name		
	Celia	
Last Name	Derbyshire	
Job Title (where relevant)		
OrganisaAon (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 1	Barnby Hall	
Line 2	Front Street	
Line 3	Demoker	
	Barnby	
Line 4	Newark	
Post Code		
FUSICOUE	NG24 2SA	

Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Name or OrganisaAon:	 	

PART B- Represental on(s)

3. To which part of the DPD does this Representa1 on relate?

Part of the Second Publica1 on AADMDPD:	Mark if Relevant (X)	Specify number/part/document:
Second Amended AADMDPD Paragraph Number		Paragraph Number:
Second Amended AADMDPD Policy Number	GRT4	NUAGRT10, Land at Chestnut Lodge
Second Amended AADMDPD Policies Map Amendments		Part of Policy Map:
Integrated Impact Assessment		Paragraph Number:
Habitat RegulaAons Assessment		Paragraph Number:
Statement of ConsultaAon		Paragraph Number:
SupporAng Evidence Base		Document Name: Page/Paragraph:

4. Do you consider the DPD to be LEGALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes

No X

5 Do you consider the DPD to comply with the Duty-to-Cooperate?

 6. Do you consider the DPD to be SOUND? Yes No X *The considera9ons in rela9on to the Legal Compliance, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being are explained in the Newark & Sherwood Development Plan Document Representa9on Guidar and in Paragraph 35 of Na9onal Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023). 7. The DPD is not sound because it is not: (1) PosiAvely Prepared (2) JusAfied (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal matter and the duty to cooperate in the box below. 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. 		Yes	No X
 *The considera9ons in rela9on to the Legal Compliance, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being are explained in the Newark & Sherwood Development Plan Document Representa9on Guidar and in Paragraph 35 of Na9onal Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023). 7. The DPD is not sound because it is not: (1) PosiAvely Prepared (2) JusAfied (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal relation 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 	6.	Do you consider the DPD to b	e SOUND?
 are explained in the Newark & Sherwood Development Plan Document Representa9on Guidar and in Paragraph 35 of Na9onal Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2023). 7. The DPD is not sound because it is not: (1) PosiAvely Prepared (2) JusAfied (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal seller 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 		Yes	No X
 (1) PosiAvely Prepared (2) JusAfied (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal action 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they	ar	e explained in the Newark & She	rwood Development Plan Document Representa9on Guidan
 (2) JusAfied (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal action 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 	7.	The DPD is not sound because	it is <u>not:</u>
 (3) EffecAve (4) Consistent with naAonal notion. 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 		(1) PosiAvely Prepared	
 (4) Consistent with naAonal 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 		(2) JusAfied	
 8. Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally complian or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they 		(3) EffecAve	
or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below. If you wish to provide supplementary informaAon to support your details, please ensure they			
	8		
			tary informaAon to support your details, please ensure the

The allocation of Chestnut Lodge as a site for a further 20 pitches for gypsy/roma/traveller use does not comply with National or local planning policy guidelines. The site is in open countryside with no local facilities or amenities. The intensification of the development with rows of small pitches in straight lines is totally out of character with the rural environment. The pitch sizes are between half and two-thirds of the recommended size for traveller pitches. The number and close-positioning of the pitches is out of proportion to the local area, where single dwellings are widely spaced in the rural setting, and is also out of proportion to the nearest village, Barnby in the Willows. The rural road which provides the only means of access to this site is unsuited to the quantity and type of extra vehicles that this site will bring. There is no public transport, no street lighting, no cycle lane or pedestrian walkway, meaning all journeys will be by car or van.

The only justification for allocating such an obviously unsuitable site is the "unmet need" for travellers' sites in the current DPD. This should not be used to justify allocation of a site which is clearly inappropriate. Allowing a current "unmet need" to dictate policy in a way that will impact local populations permanently is not acceptable.

Even if "unmet need" is enough justification for allocating a site which is inappropriate according to all National and local planning guidelines, it does not justify the inclusion of a new dwelling for a manager. The unmet need is for travellers' pitches. Other sites have managers' offices, not new dwellings. Allowing a new dwelling to be built in a rural area goes against national and local planning policy and cannot be justified. It sets a dangerous precedent for all future GRT planning applications.

The site already has 2 pitches, given permission in 2021. On that basis I cannot see why it was not included as part of GRT2, "Additional provision on existing sites', rather than GRT4. Either way, serious consideration needs to be given to whether a site that is suitable for 2 pitches is therefore necessarily suitable for ten times that many.

Putting this site forward in a document that is offered up now for public consultation is a travesty. The site was given planning permission in August 2023 for a further 19 pitches. (23/00963/FULM). The fact that the site was earmarked for allocation was made clear in committee and therefore will have had some influence on committee members' decisions. The public consultation and formal adoption (or otherwise) of this allocation should have taken place BEFORE any planning application for the site was decided. Offering a period of public consultation on a decision which has already been taken (and which was hugely unpopular with local residents) does not demonstrate the level of public accountability that a District Council should be adhering to.

(Con1nue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

9. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have iden1fied at 6 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Chestnut Lodge should be removed from the list of allocated sites and the new dwelling should be removed from the allocaAon completely. Unfortunately however it is too late to do either of these things as permission has already been granted.

(Con1nue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note your RepresentaAon should cover succinctly all the informaAon, evidence and supporAng

InformaAon necessary to support/jusAfy the RepresentaAon and the suggested change, as there will not

normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further RepresentaAons based on the original

10. If your Representa1 on is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to par1cipate at the oral part of the examina1 on?

No, I do not wish to parAcipate at the oral ExaminaAon.	Yes, I wish to parAcipate at the oral ExaminaAon.
X	

11. If you wish to par1cipate at the oral part of the Examina1on, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

(Con1nue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to parAcipate at the oral part of the ExaminaAon.

12. Please 1ck the relevant boxes below to receive no1fica1ons (via email) on the following events:

DPD submihed to the Secretary of State for InspecAon yes

ExaminaAon in Public hearing sessions. No

Planning Inspector's recommendaAons for the DPD have been published. Yes

DPD has been formally adopted. Yes

Signature: Date: 27/10/23

Please return this form by 5pm on 6 th November 2023 to one o <u>f the addresses below:</u>		
Email:	planningpolicy@newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk	Office Use Only
Post:	Planning Policy & Infrastructure Business Unit	Date of Receipt:
	Newark & Sherwood District Council Castle House	RepresentaAon No:
	Great North Road	
	Newark	
	NG24 1BY	
	1on is available at: www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representa1on/	