

Development Plan Document (DPD) Publication Stage Representation Form

Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management Development Plan Document (DPD)

A guidance note has been produced to assist in the completion of this form. Copies have been provided in correspondence and additional copies are available at: Castle House, Libraries in the District and https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/

Newark and Sherwood District Council is seeking your comments on the Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD ('Publication AADMDPD'). Comments received at this stage should be about whether the Plan is legally compliant, sound and whether it has met the duty to cooperate. All representations must be received by the Council by 12 Noon on 9th January 2023.

This form has two parts- Part A- Personal / Agent Details and Part B- Your Representation(s) and further notification requests. (Please fill in a separate sheet (Part B) for each aspect or part of the Local Plan you wish to make representation on). Documents to support your representations (optional) should be referenced.

Privacy Notice

Apart from your comments below, the personal information you have provided will only be used by Newark & Sherwood District Council in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018 and will not be shared with any third party.

The basis under which the Council uses personal data for this purpose is to undertake a public task.

The information that you have provided will be kept in accordance with the Council's retention schedule, which can be found at: https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/dataprotection/

Please note the Council cannot accept anonymous responses. All representations received will be made available for public inspection and therefore cannot be treated as confidential. They will also be:

Published in the public domain;

Published on the Council's website:

Shared with other organisations for the purpose of developing/adopting the Publication AADMDPD and forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideration;

Made available to the Planning Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to examine the Publication AADMDPD; and

Used by the Inspector to contact you regarding the Examination of the Plan.

When making representations available on the Council's website the Council will remove all telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures.

By submitting your Response Form/representation, you agree to your personal details being processed in accordance with these Data Protection Terms.

PART A- Personal / Agent Details

In circumstances where individuals/groups share a similar view, it would be helpful to the Inspector to make a single representation, stating how many people the submission is representing and how the representation was authorised.

1. Personal Details

2. Agents Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title	Mr			
First Name	Paul			
Last Name	Smith			
Job Title (where relevant)	Chair			
Organisation (where relevant)	Winthorpe with Langford PC			
A.I.I				
Address Line 1	Ulverscroft			
Line 2	86 Gainsborough			
Line 3	Winthorpe			
Line 4				
Post Code	NG24 2NR			
Telephone Number				
Frank Address				
Email Address				
Name or Organisation: Winthorpe with Langford Parish Council				

3. To which part of the DPD				
Part of the Publication AADMDPD:	Mark if Relevant (X)	Specify number/part/document:		
Amended AADMDPD Paragraph Number		Paragraph Number:		
Amended AADMDPD Policy Number	Х	Policy Number: Policy GRT4 – Site Allocations		
Amended AADMDPD Policies Map Amendments		Part of Policy Map:		
Integrated Impact Assessment ¹		Paragraph Number:		
Statement of Consultation		Paragraph Number:		
Supporting Evidence Base		Document Name:		
		Page/Paragraph:		
4. Do you consider the DPD to be LEGALLY COMPLIANT?				
Yes 🖂		No 🗌		
5 Do you consider the DPI	Do you consider the DPD to comply with the Duty-to-Cooperate?			
Yes 🔀		No 🗌		
6. Do you consider the DPI	O to be SOUND?			
Yes 🗌		No 🖂		
	Ŭ .	nce, Duty to Cooperate and the DPD being 'Sound' ent Plan Document Representation Guidance Notes		

and in Paragraph 35 of National Planning Policy Framework 2021 (NPPF).

¹ The Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) integrates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Health Impact Assessment (HIA). Sustainability Appraisals (SA) are a requirement of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) are required by European Directive EC/2001/42, which was transposed into UK law by the Environmental Assessment Regulations for Plans and Programmes (July 2004). The EqIA is a way of demonstrating the District Council is fulfilling the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duty contained in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. HIA is a recognised process for considering the health impacts of plans and undertaking this type of assessment is widely seen as best practice.

7.	The DPD is not sound because it is <u>not:</u>			
	(1) Positively Prepared (2) Justified (3) Effective (4) Consistent with national policy			
3.	Please provide precise details of why you believe the DPD is, or is not, legally compliant, sound or in compliance with the duty to cooperate in the box below.			
re	you wish to provide supplementary information to support your details, please ensure they are clearly eferenced.			
R	eference – Policy GRT 4 – Site Allocations			
N pa h	/inthorpe with Langford Parish Council believe that overall, the DPD is a good step forward for the &SDC Area and we are happy with the vast majority of the content/changes being proposed. We are articularly supportive of the work to address GRT provision in the area and we hope that this work will elp to improve the situation regarding illegal land use/access which has been experienced in the area for ir too long.			
	/e do however have concerns regarding one site allocation in particular – NUA GRT 14 – The Old Stable ard North of Winthorpe Road,			
This site was illegally set up by travellers around 6 years ago. In that time, we have worked with the people using the site and it is fair to say that we are supportive of the residents and there has been no negative impact on the residents of the Parish that we are aware of. Indeed, those travellers using the site use facilities in Winthorpe including the Primary School.				
sp	owever, as you are aware this encampment was set up without planning permission and N&SDC have pent the last 6 years trying to remove the travellers on the site and return the location to its original gricultural use. This ultimately resulted in a High Court decision early in 2022 to turn down the traveller's appeal and give notice for the travellers to leave site.			
W	e believe this site is unsuitable for human habitation for the following reasons:			
	As expressed above this is an illegal encampment for which planning permission has not been approved The High Court has turned down the traveller's appeal and as such the requirement for the site to be cleared should be followed through by N&SDC In the final decision by the High Court one of the major points made was that the location was			
	unfit for human habitation due to the impact of noise and pollution from the site's proximity to			

Winthorpe
The latest proposal for the new A46 allows for a slip road off the A46 and the construction of a new roundabout both of which will be very close to the Old Stable Yard making the current

This will be made worse with the proposed retention and expansion of the site especially with the work which will be undertaken from 2025 to construct the improvements to the A46 South of

the A1 and A46

situation significantly worse.

We note that the intention is to expand the current number of pitches on the site from the current 6 to 14. If this was to take place it would mean expanding the physical size of the current site which may mean even closer proximity to the road network and further impact on the area. The retention and expansion of the site would impact directly and negatively on the residents of the House and Dog Kennels next door

It is also worth pointing out that the design of the current A46 in this area has been designed to accommodate the existing traveller's site which is of course an illegal site. We have confirmed with National Highways senior staff that if the Old Stable Yard site was not being lived on then the current proposed roundabout would not be necessary, simplifying the project significantly with considerable cost savings.

We would ask that our comments above are taken into account during consideration of finding these sites which we agree are necessary. Given the points made above we would suggest that this is currently an illegally occupied site and has been deemed as unsuitable for human habitation and therefore other options for sites should be considered well ahead of this one. We would also suggest that discussions are held with National Highways staff as expansion of this impact could have a direct and costly impact on the project.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

9. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please see above	
	(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note your Representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting Information necessary to support/justify the Representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further Representations based on the original Representations at the Publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for Examination.

10. If your Representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?					
No, I do Examin	o not wish to participate at the oral ation	Yes, I wish to p	participate at the oral Examination		
Χ					
11. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.					
N/A		(Continuo on a	congrate sheet/expand her if necessary)		
		(Continue on a	separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.					
12. Please tick the relevant boxes below to receive notifications (via email) on the following events:					
DPD sul	bmitted to the Secretary of State for In	spection			
Examin	Examination in Public hearing sessions				
Plannin	g Inspector's recommendations for the	e DPD have been _l	published.		
DPD ha	DPD has been formally adopted.				
Signature: Date: 9 th January 2023					
Please	return this form by 12 Noon on 9 th Jar	nuary 2023 to one	e of the addresses below:		
Email: planningpolicy@nsdc.info					
Post:	Planning Policy & Infrastructure Bus Newark & Sherwood District Counci				
	Castle House Great North Road		Office Use Only		
	Newark NG24 1BY		Date of Receipt:		
Inform	ation is available at:		Representation No:		
https://www.newark-sherwooddc.gov.uk/aadm-representation/					