

From: GILBERT-WOOLDRIDGE, Tom [<mailto:Tom.Gilbert-Wooldridge@english-heritage.org.uk>]
Sent: 13 February 2013 15:52
To: planningpolicy
Subject: Modifications to Newark and Sherwood Allocations & Development Management DPD

Dear Sir or Madam

With regards to the above modifications, I would like to make the following comments on behalf of English Heritage:

We do not wish to comment on most of the final proposed modifications (FPMs), but we support a number of them which address concerns that we have previously raised in our original representations and in our examination statements. These modifications are as follows:

FPM61, 77, 90, 124, 133, 136, 137, 148, 253, 290, 291, 292, 293, 302, 309 and 339.

We would like to make specific points about some of the modifications:

With regards to FPM90, it would help if the reference to the Southwell Landscape Setting document could clarify the document's date. Two versions of the document were produced in 2012; the first from June 2012 was included as part of the DPD's submission under the reference EB24; the second from Nov/Dec 2012 was a revised version produced following discussions between the council, English Heritage and the National Trust. It is referred to in the Statement of Common Ground between the three parties (dated 5 December 2012) as "EB24A" and should have been added to the Examination Library.

With regards to FPM133, we support this modification on the assumption that the bullet point that follows this paragraph remains. This bullet point begins "Demonstrate an appropriate design..." and is important to retain as it provides helpful design advice for proposed development in respect of the Thurgarton Hundred Workhouse.

With regards to FPM149, we note the intention to amend the key on the map of Southwell Policies to read "Southwell Bypass Safeguarded Route". The map that follows this FPM still refers to "Southwell Bypass", so this would need to be amended. Notwithstanding this FPM, we continue to oppose the safeguarding of the bypass route as set out in our original representation (75/19) and in our hearing statement for the Southwell session.

With regards to FPM308 to 311, we note the intention to define which policies in the DPD are considered to be strategic for the purposes of neighbourhood planning. We welcome the identification of Policy DM9 (historic environment) as a strategic policy. However, we still think that Policies So/PV and So/Wh should be identified as strategic policies, for the reasons set out in our email dated 15 October 2012.

We hope that the above comments are of use. Please let me know if you have any queries.

Regards

Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge

Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge | Historic Environment Planning Adviser
East of England and East Midlands
Direct Line: 01223 582775
Mobile: 07826 532954

English Heritage | East of England Office
24 Brooklands Avenue | Cambridge | CB2 8BU

English Heritage | East Midlands Office
44 Derngate | Northampton | NN1 1UH

www.english-heritage.org.uk