



**NEWARK & SHERWOOD AMENDED ALLOCATIONS &
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT PLAN
DOCUMENT**

Regulation 22 Statement of Consultation

January 2024

Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD Issues Paper (2019)	3
3.0	Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD Options Report (2021)	5
4.0	First Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (2022)	7
5.0	Second Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (2023)	10
6.0	Pre-Submission	15
	Appendix A – Issues Paper - Statement of Consultation - 2019	21
	Appendix B – Options Report - Statement of Consultation - 2021	22
	Appendix C – First Publication - Statement of Consultation - 2023	23
	Appendix D – 2023 Summary of the Representations Submitted to the Second Publication Consultation (2023)	24
	Appendix E – First Publication DPD Amendments	25

1.0 Introduction

1.1 The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 prescribe the documents that a Local Planning Authority should submit to the Secretary of State when preparing a Development Plan document. This Statement provides information on:

- Which bodies and persons the local planning authority invited to make representations under Regulation 18;
- How those bodies and persons were invited to make representations under Regulation 18;
- A summary of the main issues raised by the representations made pursuant to Regulation 18;
- How any representations made pursuant to Regulation 18 have been taken into account;
- If representations were made pursuant to Regulation 20, the number of representations made and a summary of the main issues raised in those representations; and
- If no representations were made in Regulation 20, that no such representations were made.

1.2 The Newark and Sherwood Amended Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document (AADMDPD) represents the second element of the Plan Review process that the District Council embarked upon in 2015. Initially the review process covered both DPD's, the Core Strategy and the Allocations & Development Management DPD. The following stages of consultation were undertaken:

Table 1: Early Plan Review Consultation

Consultation Stage	Consultation Period
Issues Paper	5 October 2015 to 16 November 2015
Preferred Approach Strategy	20 July 2016 to 23 September 2016
Preferred Approach Sites and Settlements and Preferred Approach Town Centre and Retail	12 January 2017 to 24 February 2017

1.3 At Full Council on 11th July 2017, it was agreed that rather than submit both elements of the Plan Review (Core Strategy and ADMDPD) together, the Amended Core Strategy would be submitted first due to issues surrounding the Gypsy and Traveller strategy. As all the important and necessary elements of the Plan Review which the Council wanted to achieve were included in the Core Strategy, its prompt publication and submission of, and consideration of an Inspector by Christmas allowed the Council more time to find an appropriate sites to address the Gypsy and Traveller need comprehensively.

- 1.4 The preparation of AADMDPD began following the adoption of the Newark & Sherwood Amended Core Strategy DPD (adopted 2019), utilising and expanding the results of the previous consultation and existing evidence base to produce the Allocations & Development Management Issues Paper in Summer 2019. The publication of this was followed by a range of consultation stages leading up to the submission of the AADMDPD in December 2023 which are summarised in Table 1 below. Table 2 also identified some of the key evidence base documents which have been updated to support the AADMDPD.

Table 2: Stages of Production

Stage/Document	Date
Consultation Stages	
Issues Paper	Summer 2019
Regulation 18 Options Report	Summer 2021
Regulation 19 Publication AADMDPD	Winter 2022/23
Second Regulation 19 Publication AADMDPD	Autumn 2023
Evidence Base Documents to Support the Gypsy and Traveller Strategy	
Tolney Lane Flood Alleviation Options Appraisal	June 2019
Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment	February 2020
Gypsy and Traveller Deliverability Assessment	November 2021
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 1 Refresh	2022
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 2 Refresh	2022
Winthorpe Open Break Review	January 2022
Tolney Lane Flood Alleviation Scheme	November 2022
Integrated Impact Assessment	September 2023
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 1 Refresh	September 2023
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Level 2 Refresh	September 2023
Gypsy and Traveller Land Availability Assessment	September 2023
Gypsy and Traveller Five Year Land Supply Statement	September 2023
Sequential Test Statement – Gypsy and Traveller Site Identification	September 2023

- 1.5 This statement sets out the methods of consultation and representation and main issues on a stage-by-stage basis. It has been produced in accordance with Regulation 22(c) of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The full Consultation Response Documents produced following each stage are reproduced as appendices. The Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), adopted in March 2015 set out its approach to consultation.

2.0 Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD Issues Paper (2019)

2.1 Following Cabinet approval in 2019, the District Council placed the document on deposit for a period of consultation between 8th July 2019 and 19th August 2019. The document posed a series of questions regarding Affordable Housing Policy, Town Centres and Retail Strategy, Open Break policy and potential allocation of Gypsy and Traveller (GRT) sites and the ongoing suitability of existing allocations. During this consultation, a Call for Sites Exercise was undertaken calling for landowners to suggest suitable locations for new Gypsy and Traveller sites. A total of 58 responses were received.

Who was Consulted?

2.2 The specific and general consultation bodies identified within The Regulations together with other bodies and individuals who had previously registered an interest in the process were sent direct consultations. The information was also published on the Council's website.

How was the Consultation Undertaken?

2.3 On publication of the document, emails or letters were issued notifying all interested parties whose details were retained on the Council's consultation database of the period of consultation, with a web-link to the document, Representation Form, Statement of Representation Procedure, Representation Guidance Note, Statement of Fact Notice, and supporting evidence base documents being included.

2.4 The document was made available for general consultation by being placed on deposit at the District Council's Offices, website, Parish and Town Councils and public libraries within the District. A comments form was prepared specifically for this stage in the process and this was made available in the same way. This was publicised by notices in local newspapers.

Table 3: Summary of Consultation Groups and Methods

Consultation Group	Method of Consultation
Specific and general consultation bodies	These were sent an electronic or paper copy of the document together with comment forms
General Public	The document was placed on deposit at the District Councils Offices, website, Parish and Town Councils and public libraries throughout the District. Comment forms were made available in the same way. Notices were placed in local press and advertised on social media.
Town & Parish Councils & Meetings	These were sent an email with a link to the document.

What were the Main Issues Raised?

2.5 The full Summary of Consultation Responses Document (September 2019) is included within Appendix A.

2.6 The main issues emerging at this stage were:

- **Housing and Employment Allocations** – A number of landowners believed we should be allocating more Housing and Employment sites;
- **Design** – Objections to design standards from Housebuilders but HBF were supportive;
- **Open Break Review** – support for open break review.
- **Additional** – need to further consider Crew Lane, Southwell allocations, future of NSK allocation and Newark Showground.
- **Gypsy and Traveller Strategy** – need to develop a strategy, including Pitch Delivery Strategy and search for additional land. Draft Policy to deal with GRTs that do not meet planning need definition.

3.0 Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD Options Report (2021)

3.1 The Options Report was the second consultation stage of the review of the Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD. In addition to the updating and amendment of this DPD document, a review of a small amount of content from the Amended Core Strategy was also proposed. This consultation document presented a series of options for consideration as part of this stage of the Plan Review.

3.2 The public consultation on the Options Report took place between 27th July 2021 and 21st September 2021, a period of 8 weeks. A total of 136 responses were received giving 666 individual answers to the 56 questions posed as part of the consultation.

Who was Consulted?

3.3 The Council again consulted the specific and general consultation bodies identified within The Regulations together with other bodies and individuals who had previously registered and interest in the process. These were sent either an email or a letter setting out the availability of the document and the consultation timescale along with details on how to respond to the consultation.

How was the Consultation Carried Out?

3.4 In the same manner as the Issues Paper, the document was made available for general consultation by being placed on deposit at the District Councils Offices, website, Parish and Town Councils and public libraries within the District. This was publicised by notices in local newspaper. A representation form was prepared specifically for this stage in the process and this was made available in the same way. The following events were also held:

- Developer Briefing Session – Microsoft Teams – 7th September 2021
- General Public Briefing Session – Microsoft Teams – 9th & 16th September 2021
- Market Stall – Newark Market Place – 15th September 2021

3.5 Like with the Issues Paper, on publication of the document, emails or letters were issued notifying all interested parties whose details were retained on the Council's consultation database of the period of consultation, with a web-link to the document, Comments Form, and supporting evidence base documents being included.

What were the Main Issues Raised?

3.6 The full consultation responses document at Appendix B but a summary of the main issues include:

- **Affordable Housing** – respondents felt policy could be better worded to reflect

national policy and a number of consultees considered that more detail on housing within sub-areas should be provided.

- **SO/HO/7 and SO/E/1,2 & 3** – a number of respondents were concerned about the approach to these policies and a primary concern emerged regarding the Easthorpe Medieval Shrunken Village which could impact on the consideration of this area.
- **Housing Allocations** – NUA/HO/5 confirmed as now available for development having previously been proposed for deallocation. Lo/Ho/1 was confirmed as no longer deliverable. Respondents also identified a specific need to address housing needs in Laxton.
- **Archaeology** – Respondents were mostly supportive of the proposed approach to policy updates and the new policies in relation to archaeological issues.
- **Belvoir Ironworks (proposed GRT site)** - Biggest response from the public
- **Malkiln Lane (proposed GRT site)** – Reasonable level of objections from the public
- **Tolney Lane** – Objection from Environment Agency

4.0 First Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (2022)

4.1 Following consideration of the responses to the Issues Report in 2019, and the Options Report in 2021, the Council produced the First Publication DPD. Following approval by Full Council, the document was placed on deposit for a period of representation between 14th November 2022 and 9th January 2023. The consultation received 63 individual responses containing 164 comments.

Who was Consulted?

4.2 The Council again consulted the specific and general consultation bodies identified within The Regulations together with other bodies and individuals who had previously registered an interest in the process.

How was the Consultation Carried Out?

4.3 In the same manner as the preceding stages, the document was made available for general consultation by being placed on deposit at the District Councils Offices, website, Parish and Town Councils and public libraries within the District. This was publicised by notices in local newspapers. A representation form was prepared specifically for this stage in the process and this was made available in the same way.

4.4 On publication of the DPD document, emails or letters were issued notifying all interested parties whose details were retained on the Council's consultation database of the period of consultation, with a web-link to the document, Representation Form, Statement of Representation Procedure, Representation Guidance Note, Statement of Fact Notice, and supporting evidence base documents being included.

4.5 As part of the consultation, the following actions were taken:

- The AADMDDPD was published with the proposed amendments underlined and proposed deletions struck through;
- A dedicated webpage was set up on the Council's website where all consultation documents, including evidence base documents were available.

What were the Main Issues Raised?

4.6 The full summary of representations received is reproduced at Appendix C. However, some of the key issues are outlined below and the resultant amendments can be viewed at Appendix E.

Main Issue 1 – Environment Agency

4.7 The Council have been in detailed discussions with the Environment Agency (Representor 62) for a significant period of time and while they have been supportive of an overall approach which lessons flood risk for residents and provides a proper framework for making planning decisions in the Tolney Lane area, they submitted an

objection to the scheme until such a time that they were able to validate the modelling work and were happy the proposed scheme could deliver benefits currently demonstrated.

- 4.8 The Environment Agency has previously met with the Council's flood risk consultants who had explained the scheme and the results of the detailed flood modelling analysis to them. The understanding of Planning Policy was that the work published alongside the DPD would be sufficient to progress to submission. However, the EA had raised a significant issue with the proposed flood allocation detailed in the DPD.
- 4.9 The Council's flood risk consultants (Tetra Tech) proposed to use the updated flood model created by National Highway's for the A46 Newark Bypass scheme to model in more detail the impacts of the Tolney Lane Flood Alleviation Scheme. Given the centrality of those proposals to the delivery of the overall strategy it was decided it was not possible to submit the Plan until progress on these matters was made with the Environment Agency.

Main Issue 2 – Gypsy and Traveller Strategy and Site Allocations

- 4.10 A representation (010) questioned the overall strategy particularly in light of the recent legal judgement regarding the planning definition of Gypsy Roma Travellers. Representations were received in relation two of the proposed allocations, questioning their suitability. The former Belvoir Iron Works (42,43 and 54) and the Old Stable Yard (55). The owner of a site (51) discounted due to highway problems submitted a study which supported the allocation of the site at land east of Newark Road, Ollerton.

Other Issues

- 4.11 The policies / evidence base documents that received the most representations were:
- Core Policy 1 (Affordable Housing Provision): received 9 representations which included comments on:
 - how the policy does not address the shortfall in affordable housing;
 - amending policies in the Core Strategy through this review;
 - the impact of the First Homes policy on viability;
 - Core Policy 1 needs clarification on where cash contributions are secured instead of on-site units.
 - Playing Pitch Strategy – 7 representations:
 - Strategy is out of date;
 - Strategy is not consistent with NPPF.
 - Open Space Strategy & Assessment – 7 representations:
 - Strategy is out of date;

- Strategy is not consistent with NPPF;
- Strategy should be at the ward level, not settlement level;
- Correction required to extent of open space marked on map.
- DM8 (Development in the Open Countryside) – 6 representations:
 - Policy is restrictive towards businesses in the open countryside wanting to expand;
 - Policy is unduly restrictive towards agricultural development;
 - Not consistent with NPPF;

5.0 Second Publication Allocations & Development Management DPD (2023)

- 5.1 Following the close of the representation period, the District Council considered the representations received. Of significance was a representation from the Environment Agency. The District Council has been in detailed and productive discussions with the Agency for a significant period of time. The Agency has been supportive of an overall approach which lessens flood risk for residents and provides a proper framework for making planning decisions in the Tolney Lane area. They have met with the Council's flood risk consultants who have explained the scheme and the results of the detailed flood modelling analysis to them. Our understanding had been that the work published alongside the DPD would be sufficient to progress to submission. However, the EA in making their representation stated until such a time as they were happy with the model and its outcomes, they could not support the Tolney Lane Flood Alleviation Scheme.
- 5.2 In addition, since the publication last year of the Draft DPD, the situation regarding a number of the proposal in the Plan both in relation to our Gypsy & Traveller Pitch delivery strategy and with other elements of the DPD have changed. The status of a number of sites has changed to the extent to which are considering recommending to the Council that we change our approach to them. Furthermore, in relation to the Pitch Delivery Strategy a small number of pitches have been granted planning permission in the interim and the Planning Inspectorate have changed their approach to the implementation of planning policy as set out in Planning Policy for Travellers Sites following legal decisions.
- 5.3 As a result of the above, it was determined that a Second Publication DPD would be required, and this was consulted on between 25th September 2023 and 6th November 2023.

Who was Consulted?

- 5.4 The Council again consulted the specific and general consultation bodies identified within The Regulations together with other bodies and individuals who had previously registered an interest in the process.

How was the Consultation Carried Out?

- 5.5 In the same manner as the preceding stages, the document was made available for general consultation by being placed on deposit at the District Councils Offices, website, Parish and Town Councils and public libraries within the District. This was publicised by notices in local newspapers. A representation form was prepared specifically for this stage in the process and this was made available in the same way.
- 5.6 As part of the consultation, the following actions were taken:
- The AADMDPD was published with the proposed amendments underlined and

proposed deletions struck through;

- A summary of the changes have occurred since the First Regulation 19 Consultation;
- A dedicated webpage was set up on the Council's website where all consultation documents, including evidence base documents were available.

Late Representations

- 5.7 We have accepted a later representation in the form of a holding letter from the Environment Agency.

What were the Main Issues Raised?

- 5.8 A full summary of representations to the Second Regulation 19 Consultation received is reproduced at Appendix D .

Main Issue 1 – Environment Agency Response

- 5.9 The Environment Agency (Ref 62) objected to the 1st Publication DPD, because they wanted more detailed modelling for the Tolney Lane Flood Alleviation Scheme before they could support the plan. Subsequent to this the Council and Agency have been in detailed discussion about next steps for the scheme and the Agency, considering the complexities of the situation, have confirmed in a holding statement, that they want to address these matters through a Statement of Common Ground.
- 5.10 District Council Response: The District Council welcomes the approach taken by the Environment Agency and is already working towards agreeing a Statement of Common Ground with the Agency. This will outline the current position in terms of flooding in the District, the approach taken to dealing with flooding as part of the Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD, the issues for dealing with flooding at Tolney Lane in particular and the proposed approach for dealing with this.
- 5.11 Action: Agree a statement of common ground with the Environment Agency.

Main Issue 2 – Newark Showground Policy Area

- 5.12 Various landowners within the Showground Policy Area (SPA) have made representations including regarding NUA/MU/1 the mixed-use allocation within the SPA. The developers of NUA/MU/1 Lindum (75) are currently engaged in a land swap with Newark Showground (67). This swap will enable the showground operations to continue to operate efficiently. Both propose that the land swap should be reflected in a change in boundaries to the allocation. They also want to remove reference to hotel/conference centre from the allocation because that is no longer proposed, and a covenant will restrict this on the land transferred to Lindum. Newark Showground further proposes that an allocation be made elsewhere for a Hotel/Conference facility.
- 5.13 District Council Response: It is recognised that in order to deliver NUA/MU/1, and to allow the Showground to effectively function the land swap should be reflected in the extent of the policy. It is also noted that the allocation will not be able to deliver a Hotel/Conference Facility in the location proposed and therefore it is appropriate to amend the wording. It is not proposed to make a new allocation at this stage, in any

event hotel and conference facilities a permitted on the wider site as part of the proposed amendment to NUA/SPA/1

- 5.14 Action: Propose to the Inspector that the allocation is adjusted as part of a modification. Remove reference to Hotel/Conference facility from NUA/MU/1.

Main Issue 3 – Policy CI/MU/1 (Clipstone Colliery)

- 5.15 Welbeck Estates (24) who are the major landowner within the allocation are supportive of the continued allocation of the site but want a number of adjustments to the policy:

- Retail – the policy is currently flexible and does not specify a size for the retail provision, Welbeck want a definition of up to 20,000 square feet.
- Employment – Whilst phase 1 and 2 of the redevelopment are currently under consideration as planning applications, the residual of the site is still being considered. At this stage the exact distribution and quantum of development is under consideration, given current thinking Welbeck want to state that 8.5 hectares of employment provision will be made.
- Open Space – Welbeck want to include a figure of 10.8 hectares for Public Open Space and sports provision in policy.

- 5.16 District Council Response: At this stage the exact distribution and quantum of development for a large element of the site is still under consideration to that extent it is not considered appropriate to fix the quantum of development. In particular the flexibility for retail will allow a proposal to be developed which supports the wider redevelopment and meets the requirements of wider retail policy. It is that proposed that the wording around the quantum of employment growth to be amended to support flexibility.

- 5.17 Action: Propose to the Inspector that the wording is modified to provide flexibility.

Main Issue 4 – Objections to the (continued) Allocation of Sites

- 5.18 A number of representations have been made regarding the suitability and deliverability of existing and proposed allocations, most notably, NUA/Ho/10 (73,77,79,86,88), GRT/NUA/10 (65,90) and GRT/NUA/11 (42, 43).

- 5.19 District Council Response: Following review of the representations it is not proposed to amend any allocations.

- 5.20 Action: None

Main Issue 5 - NUA/Ho/1 (Alexander Avenue and Stephen Road)

- 5.21 A representor (91) questions why NUA/Ho/1 is proposed for deallocation setting out that the site is still suitable for development and will not be impacted upon by the A46 Bypass scheme.

- 5.22 District Council Response: The site was proposed for deallocation as we had had no contact from the owner in many years. At this late stage it would not be appropriate to

change our position on this allocation as no one has been afforded the right to comment on continuing to allocate the site. The area of land will remain within the Urban Boundary for Newark Urban Area.

5.23 Action: None

Main Issue 6 – DM2 (Allocated Sites) and DM3 (Developer Contributions)

5.24 These policies were amended by the District Council following earlier representations made regarding the status of Supplementary Planning Documents. Representations now require further clarification of the evidence required to justify developer contributions.

5.26 District Council Response: The District Council notes the request for clarity on this matter and the need to set out the types of evidence that the Plan is proposing to be used.

5.27 Action: Propose to the Inspector that the wording is modified to provide further clarification.

Main Issue – DM4 (Renewable Energy)

5.28 Two representors (16 and 33) have suggested that DM4 will not assist in allowing them to develop renewable energy and suggest that the Policy is not in line with the NPPF.

5.29 District Council response: Whilst the policy is broadly supportive of renewable energy a number of amendments have been proposed to ensure that the policy is in line with the NPPF.

5.30 Action: Propose to the Inspector that the wording is modified to ensure that the policy is in line with the NPPF.

Main Issue – DM8 (Development in the Open Countryside)

5.31 Representations were received on various elements of DM8, representor 16 did not believe that the policy in relation to employment development was facilitative enough for existing large employers, such as their client British Sugar, in open countryside locations. Representors 53 and 72 are concerned that the elements relating to conversion of existing buildings and the supporting paragraphs 7.74 and 7.75 are not fully in line with Paragraph 80 of the NPPF.

5.32 District Council Response: The District Council accepts that further clarifications to the policy to show support for existing appropriate employers in the Open Countryside and clarify how all types of conversion of buildings should be dealt with.

5.33 Action: Propose to the Inspector that the wording is modified at para 7.80 to make clear the Council will work with existing businesses in the countryside. It is also proposed to reword DM8 and para 7.74 and 7.75 to more clearly reflect the NPPF.

Main Issue – Viability in Housing Policies

5.34 The Home Builders Federation (50) have raised issues with Core Policy 1 (Affordable Housing) and Core Policy 3 (Housing Mix, Type and Density) with regard to their viability. The policies in the plan have been subjected to a whole plan viability assessment.

- 5.35 District Council Response: The policies in the plan have been subjected to a whole plan viability assessment which justifies the approach taken.

Proposed Main Modifications, Clarification Minor Modifications

- 5.36 In order to address some of the issues raised in the Second Publication consultation, a number of proposed modifications and clarification minor modifications are proposed and were approved at Full Council. These are outlined in CD05 - Proposed Main Modifications and Clarification Minor Amendments
- 5.37 It is also proposed that Old Stable Yard, Winthorpe Road, Newark (GRT allocation - NUA/GRT/12) should not now be allocated. This followed updated information on noise pollution, the conclusion of which demonstrated that mitigation measures were not realistically deliverable. Given these conclusions and the proposed Main Modifications, the owners of the site were given the opportunity (until the 15 January 2024) to respond to the results and they have objected. This information and the objection have been submitted (GRT8 and CD18 respectively) to the Inspectorate.

6.0 Pre-Submission

6.1 Prior to the submission of the Plan, it was necessary to collate the representations submitted to the First and Second Regulation 19 Consultations. As such, Table 3 below identifies each Represor and their represor number. These numbers have stayed with the Represor for both stages of consultation.

6.2 Table 4 outlines the representations received by Policy Topic area for both the First and Second Publication Regulation 19 Consultation.

Table 4: Represor Numbers

Rep ID	Name	Represor Organisation	Agent Name	Agent Organisation
001		CB Collier NK (SSC) Limited	John Pearce	Harris Lamb
002	Catherine Townend	National Highways		
003	Janet Hempsall	Newark Town Council		
004	Jake Whittaker			
005	Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.CO.UK		
006	Darrell Walker	Lindens Farm Ltd	Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.co.uk
007	CL & JD Smith		Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.co.uk
008	Caron Ballantyne	Harby Parish Council		
009	Caron Ballantyne	Collingham Parish Council		
010	Alison Heine	Heine Planning		
011		Taylor Lindsey Limited	James Rigby	Knights
012	Ian Lawrence			
013	Roslyn Deeming	Natural England		
014	Nina Wilson	Notts County Council (Policy)		
015	Steve Beard	Sport England		
016		British Sugar	Wakako Hirose	Rapleys
017	Stephen Stray	Mansfield District Council		
018	Guy Hird	Witham Internal Drainage Board		
019	Kim Miller	National Trust		
020	Neil Fletcher		Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.co.uk
021	Jack Hardy	001 Hardy Ltd	Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.co.uk
022	Richard Overton	NDC Group Ltd / Ashover Estates Ltd		
023	Melanie Lindsley	The Coal Authority		
024	Darren Ridout	Welbeck Estates Company	Grace Stevens	Cerda Planning
025	Richard Forbes	Canal & River Trust		
026	Jonathan Protheroe	Oxalis Planning		
027	Anna Dennison	Thorney Parish Council		
028	Oliver Taylor	Charterhouse Strategic Land		
029	Emma Oldham			
030	David Sparks	Minster Veterinary Centre	Andy Moger	Tetlow King
031		Bourne Leisure Ltd	James Cox	Lichfields
032		Sainsbury's Supermarkets	Andrew Astin	WSP
033	Mark Abbott	Egdon Resources Ltd	Paul Foster	AECOM
034	Christopher Taylor	Vitali Energi	Andrew Barton	AXIS
035		Tritax Acquisition 39 Ltd	David Green	Delta Planning
036	Rosamund Worrall	Historic England		
037	Anthony Northcote	TOWN-PLANNING.co.uk		
038	Brian Morris		Richard Ling	Richard Ling & Associates
039	Jean Hall	Saving Wildlife and Nature		
040	Nick Crouch			

041	Gordon Robertson			
042	Jamie Moore			
043	Laura Musson			
044	Paul Baggaley	Newark Sports Association		
045	Michael Dinn	Gladman Developments		
046		Hericon Development Company	Hannah Price	Fisher German
047		Richborough Estates	Angela Brooks	Fisher German
048	Trevethick, Dove & Dove		George Machin	Grace Machin
049	David Robinson		George Machin	Grace Machin
050	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		
051	Heath Fury		Nick Baseley	IBA Planning
052	Georgia Guest	Bellway Homes Ltd	Charlotte Bailey	Turley
053	Nick Baseley	IBA Planning		
054	Mike van den Berg	Urban & Civic	Stephenie Hawkins	Barton Willmore
055	Paul Smith	Winthorpe Parish Council		
056	Tim Parker	NSK Europe Ltd	Bob Woollard	Planning & Design Group
057	Lindsay Ramsden	Avant Homes		
058		Clarendon Land & Development		Marrons Planning
059	Pamela Ball	Protect Newark's Green Spaces		
060	Nicholas Dessurne	Staple Excavators		
061	Cllr Debbie Darby			
062	Paul Goldsmith	Environment Agency		
063		Trustees of Thoresby Settlement	Gabrielle Rowan	Pegasus Group
064	Sue Clarke	NHS		
065	Celia Derbyshire			
066	Keith Phillips-Moul		Megan Askham	Planning & Design Practice
067		Newark & Nottinghamshire Agricultural Society	Tony Aspbury	Aspbury Planning
068	Jayne Saunders	Farndon Parish Council		
069		Telereal Trillium Group	John Pearce	Harris Lamb
070	I&V Johnston			
071	Briggs-Price, Hiller & Porter		Tony Aspbury	Aspbury Planning
072	Mark Sutheran	Civitas SPV6 Ltd	Chris Wickham	Chris Wickham Associates
073	KS Murrell			
074	Michael Struggles	Southwell Civic Society		
075		Lindum Group	Andrew Pettifor	Aspbury Planning
076	Victoria Hodgson			
077	Steve Hodgson			
078	Cllr Tom Collier			
079	Des Kay	Protect our Wildlife on Lowfield Lane		
080	Yvette Wellard	Coddington Parish Council		
081		Oxton Farms Trust	Lynette Swinburne	Savills UK Ltd
082	Lindsay Ramsden	Avant Homes		
083		The Impact Branch Limited	Richard Irving	ID Planning
084	Robert Jays	Lindum Group		
085		Gleeson Homes	Phil Robinson	Pegasus Group
086	Ruth Neilson			
087		Nottingham Trent University	Ben Williams	Turley
088	Joshua James	Severn Trent Water		
089	William Robinson			
090	Helen Cowan	Barnby-in-the-Willows Parish Council		
091	Maurice Leach			

Table 5: Representations Received During Regulation 19 Consultations on the Newark & Sherwood Publication Amended Allocations & Development Management DPD

	Total Received	Legally Compliant?		Complies with Duty to cooperate?		Is the Plan Sound?		Reason for not Sound				Support
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Not Positively Prepared	Not Justified	Not Effective	Not Consistent with National Policy	
Whole Plan												
Representations to Whole Plan	14	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Chapter 1: Introduction												
Representations to Introduction	2	2		2			2			2		
Chapter 2: Newark Area												
South Scarle Omission Site	1						1	1	1	1	1	
Newark Urban Area												
Policy NA/MOA	2	1		1			2		2			2
Policy NUA/Ho/1	1	1			1		1	1	1			
Policy NUA/Ho/7	2						2			2		
Policy NUA/Ho/10	10		1		3		9	3	5	8		5
Policy NUA/SPA/1	4	3		3		3						4
Policy NUA/MU/1	2						2		2			
Policy NUA/MU/3	1	1		1			1	1				1
Policy NUA/OS	4	2		2		1	3	1		2		1
Policy NUA/E/3	1	1		1			1		1			
Policy NUA/AR/1	4	1	1	2		1	3	1	3	1		1
Policy NUA/AR/2	1	1		1		1						1
Policy NUA/OB/	3	2		2			3	2	3	2		2
Omission Site	3	3		3			3	2	3	1		1
Collingham												
Omission Site	1						1	1				
Sutton-on-Trent												
Policy ST/MU/1	1	1		1			1	1	1	1		
Policy ST/LC/1	1	1		1			1	1	1	1		
Policy ST/MOA	1	1		1			1	1	1	1		
Chapter 3: Southwell Area												
Omission Site - Bleasby	1						1	1	1	1		1
Southwell												
Policy So/Ho/1	3											3
Policy So/Ho/2	1											1
Policy So/Ho/3	3											3
Policy So/Ho/4	1											1
Policy So/Ho/5	1						1		1	1		1

Policy So/Ho/6	3											3	
Policy So/Ho/7	1					1		1	1				
Policy So/MU/1	3											3	
Policy So/HN/1	2											2	
Policy So/E/1	2					1						2	
Policy So/E/2	4					1						4	
Policy So/E/3	3						1		1			2	
Policy So/RL/1	5	1		1		1	4	2	3	2		2	1
Policy So/WH	1						1					1	
Policy So/AR/1	2	1		1		2							2
Omission Site	1						1	1	1	1		1	
Chapter 4: Nottingham Fringe Area													
Lowdham													
Policy Lo/Ho/1	1	1		1		1							1
Policy Lo/HN/1	1	1		1		1							1
Chapter 5: Sherwood Area													
Laxton													
Policy ShA/L/1	2	2		2		1	1	1				1	1
Ollerton & Boughton													
Omission Site	2	1		1			2	2	1	1		1	
Edwinstowe													
Omission Site	1	1		1			1	1	1	1		1	
Bilsthorpe													
Policy Bi/Ho/1	2	2		2			2		2				
Chapter 6: Mansfield Fringe Area													
Whole Chapter	1	1		1		1							1
Rainworth													
Policy Ra/Ho/2	3	2		2			2	2	2	2			1
Policy Ra/E/1	2	2		2			2				2		
Omission Site	2	2		2			2				2		
Clipstone													
Policy Cl/MU/1	2	1		1		1							2
Omission Site	1						1	1	1	1		1	
Chapter 7: Development Management Policies													
Policy DM1	1						1		1				
Policy DM2	9	1	1	1	1	1	7	4	3	3		6	1
Policy DM3	4	1		1		1	3					3	1
Policy DM4	4	3		3		1	3	1	3	1		3	1
Policy DM5a	10	1		1			8	4	6	6		6	2

Policy DM5b	9	1	1	1	1	1	5	2	2	4	3	4
Policy DM5c	2						2		2		2	
Policy DM5d	2											2
Policy DM7	10	2		2		2	5	2	3	5	3	5
Policy DM8	13	9		9		1	10	4	7	6	8	3
Policy DM9	6	1		1		2						6
Policy DM10	3	1		1			2	1	1	1	2	
Policy DM11	1	1		1			1	1	1	1		
Policy DM12	1	1		1			1	1		1		
Chapter 8: Homes for All												
Core Policy 1	9	2	1	2	1		9	3	6	2	5	
Core Policy 2a	4						1				1	3
Core Policy 3	4		1		1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2
Policy GRT/4	2	1	1	1	1		2	1	1	2	1	
NUA/GRT/10	1		1				1	1	1		1	
NUA/GRT/11	6						6	6	6	6	6	
OB/GRT/6	2	1		1		1	1			1		1
Whole Chapter - GRT	4	2	1	2		2	1	1	1	2	1	
Whole Chapter - Affordable Housing	1	1		1			1		1		1	
Policies Map												
Map 1 - Newark North	3	2		2			3	1	1	3	2	
Map 2 - Newark South	7	3		3			7	2	7	1		
Map 5 - Sutton on Trent	1	1		1			1	1	1	1	1	
Map 6 - Southwell	5	3		3			5	4	5	1	1	
Map 9 - Laxton	1					1						1
Map 10 - Ollerton & Boughton	1	1		1		1						1
Map 11 - Edwinstowe	1	1		1			1			1		
Map 12 - Bilsthorpe	2	1	1	1			2	1	1	2		
Policies Map (Green Belt)	1	1		1		1						1
Evidence Base Documents												
Open Space Assessment & Strategy	6						6				6	
Playing Pitch Strategy	6	1		1			6		1	1	4	
IIA	1				1		1	1	1	1		
GTAA	1						1	1	1	1	1	
HRA	1	1		1		1						1
SHELAA Submission	3											
Total	268	83	10	83	10	34	165	71	104	92	92	76

6.3 The following list of Policies have not had a representation submitted to them:

Table 5: List of Policies to Which Nobody has Submitted a Representation

Newark Area		
NUA/Ho/2	NUA/Ho/3	NUA/Ho/4
NUA/Ho/5	NUA/Ho/6	NUA/Ho/8
NUA/Ho/9	NUA/MU/2	NUA/MU/4
NUA/E/1	NUA/E/2	NUA/E/4
NUA/Ph/1	NUA/TC/1	NUA/LC/1
NUA/LC/2	NUA/LC/3	NUA/Tr/1
Co/Mu/1	Co/LC/1	Co/MOA
ST/EA/10		
Southwell Area		
SoA/MOA	So/DC/1	So/MOA
So/PV	Fa/Ho/1	Fa/MU/1
Fa/LC/1		
Nottingham Fringe Area		
Lo/Ho/2	Lo/LC/1	Lo/Tr/1
Sherwood Area		
ShA/MOA	OB/Ho/1	OB/Ho/2
OB/Ho/3	OB/MU/1	OB/MU/2
OB/Ph/1	OB/E/1	OB/E/2
OB/E/3	OB/DC/1 & OB/LC/1	OB/Re/1
OB/Re/2	OB/Tr/1	Ed/Ho/1
Ed/Ho/2	Ed/DC/1	Ed/VC/1
Ed/St/1	Ed/MOA	Bi/Ho/2
Bi/MU/1	Bi/E/1	Bi/E/2
Bi/Ph/1	Bi/LC/1	
Mansfield Fringe Area		
Ra/Ho.1	Ra/MU/1	Ra/LC/1
Cl/LC/1	Bl/Ho/1	Bl/Ho/2
Bl/Ho/3	Bl/E/1	Bl/LC/1
Development Management Policies		
Policy DM6	Policy DM13	
Homes For All		
Core Policy 2	GRT/1	GRT/2
GRT/3	GRT/5	NUA/GRT/1
NUA/GRT/2	NUA/GRT/3	NUA/GRT/4
NUA/GRT/5	NUA/GRT/6	NUA/GRT/7
NUA/GRT/8	NUA/GRT/9	NUA/GRT/12
NUA/GRT/13	OB/GRT/1	OB/GRT/2
OB/GRT/3	OB/GRT/4	OB/GRT/5
Policies Map		
Policies Map 3	Policies Map 4	Policies Map 7
Policies Map 8	Policies Map 13	Policies Map 14
Policies Map 15		

Appendix A – Issues Paper - Statement of Consultation - 2019

Appendix B – Options Report - Statement of Consultation - 2021

Appendix C – First Publication - Statement of Consultation - 2023

Appendix D – 2023 Summary of the Representations Submitted to the Second Publication Consultation (2023)

Appendix E – First Publication DPD Amendments